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Ref.No.2772/FAA/2014-15/A-13 August 22, 2014

***APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER***

***RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT (RTI ACT), 2005***

***SMALL INDUSTRIES DEVELOPMENT BANK OF INDIA (SIDBI)***

***Appeal No.13/2014-15***

**APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19(1) OF THE RTI ACT BY SHRI SUBHASH CHANDRA AGAINST THE ORDER NO. 1271 DATED 08/07/2014 OF CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER (CPIO) OF SIDBI REGARDING HIS RTI APPLICATION DATED 19/05/2014**

**Background :**

The Information Seeker Shri Subhash Chandra, Shree Vihar Shree Nagari, Wing A, Building C, Flat No.2, Behind Appu Ghar, Nigdi, Pune – 411 044, filed an application dated May 19, 2014 under the provisions of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the CPIO of Small Industries Development Bank of India, seeking information under about 12 heads/sub-heads, relating to the complaint dated 06/06/2013 filed by him against APSFC. CPIO disposed off the said application vide order no. 1271 dated July 08, 2014 providing various information.

Not satisfied with the information supplied by CPIO vide the said order, applicant has preferred this appeal dated August 05, 2014 (received on August 13, 2014).

 ***Contd/-…***

**: 2 :**

**Decision :**

I have carefully gone through the application dated May 19, 2014 and Order no.1271 dated July 08, 2014 passed by the CPIO of SIDBI and also the contents of the appeal dated August 05, 2014 of the Appellant. It is noticed from copy of the impugned order that the appellant was provided with the available information pertaining to 11 head/sub-heads of Sl. 1 to 4 of the application on which information was sought while in respect of the information sought at Sl. No. 5 of the application it was indicated that the information was not available with SIDBI. The Appellant has alleged that he has been provided with incomplete and unsatisfactory information. Here, I may point out that the RTI Act provides a mechanism to citizens to seek information as it exists with the Public Authority. The information seeker seeks information about own grievance and in such cases existence of particular information is presumed/assumed. The present case too falls under this category. CPIO has provided to the appellant all the information as available to him from Bank’s records. It is only in respect of item no. 5 of the application under which information about CAG report is sought, that it is mentioned that the information is not available with SIDBI which is factual as CAG reports are not submitted to SIDBI. Copy of Bank’s letter dated June 12, 2013 has already been given to appellant by CPIO as mentioned in his order.

In view of the above, there is no case for interference with the order passed by CPIO. Appeal is dismissed.

Order accordingly.

Copy of this decision be sent to the Information Seeker and the CPIO.

**Sd/-**

 **(शैलेन्द्र महलवार / Shailendra Mahalwar)**

**मुख्य महाप्रबंधक (विधि) तथा प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी /**

**Chief General Manager (Legal) and**

**First Appellate Authority**

Endt. No.2772A/FAA/2014-15/A-13 of date 22/08/2014

1] Shri Subhash Chandra, Shree Vihar Shree Nagari, Wing A, Building C, Flat No.2, Behind Appu Ghar, Nigdi, Pune – 411 044.

2] Shri U.S.Lal, GM [Legal] & CPIO, SIDBI, Head Office, Lucknow.

**[एस.बी. साहू / S. B. Sahu]**

**सहा. महाप्रबंधक (प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी का कार्यालय) /**

**Assistant General Manager (Office of FAA)**