SIDBI
भारतीय लघु उद्योग विकास बैंक
SMALL INDUSTRIES DEVELOPMENT BANK OF INDIA

By Regd. Post with AD

Ref. No.L00153538/FAA/07 Date : July 14, 2017

FIRST APPELLATE ATHORITY (SIDBI)
UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Appeal No. 07/2017-18

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19(1) OF THE RTI ACT BY SHRI PRAMOD GOEL AGAINST THE ORDER NO.2124 DATED 19/05/2017 OF CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER (CPIO) OF SIDBI REGARDING HIS RTI APPLICATION DATED 22/04/2017.

Background:

The Appellant Shri Pramod Goel resident of 98-Hope Apartments, Sector -15, Part-2, Gurgaon-122001, has preferred the present appeal dated June 15, 2017, received on June 20, 2017, against the order No.2124 dated May 19, 2017 by CPIO of Small Industries Development Bank of India (SIDBI) in response to his application dated April 22, 2017, seeking information/queries with regard to account of K.K. Organics Pvt. Ltd.

The Appellant has challenged the said order dated May 19, 2017 of CPIO inter alia, on various grounds alleging that the information provided is not specific, vague and incomplete.

I have gone through the contents of the Appeal dated June 15, 2017, the application dated April 22, 2017 of the appellant as also the order No.2124 dated May 19, 2017 passed by CPIO in the matter. It is observed that the appellant has sought information on wide issues regarding the account of K.K. Organics Pvt. Ltd with SIDBI, of which he was promoter director.

I observe that the information sought by Appellant at point No.A, B & C of the appeal is repetitions of the information already provided to Smt.Vibha Goel, w/o appellant. It may be mentioned that appellant and his wife Smt.Vibha Goel are signatories of various financial documents and possess copies of these documents including statement of account. CPIO is not expected to cite provisions or give explanations or his views on documents. Thus, the information available with the public authority has been made available to the applicant and I don't find any infirmity in the order of CPIO and thus, appeal merits dismissal.

The appellant has sought hearing, which in my opinion is not required as no new ground has been raised.

Order :

Order accordingly.

Copy of this order be sent to the appellant and CPIO.

Mahalwar 14/7

(शैलेन्द्र महलवार / Shailendra Mahalwar)
मुख्य महाप्रबंधक (विधि) तथा प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी
Chief General Manager (Legal) and
First Appellate Authority